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## AGN in clusters: Big Picture

## LSS has significant effect on AGN activity

Low z: Luminous AGN fraction in clusters lower than field. Similar to star-forming galaxies and optical AGN.
(e.g. Eastman et al. 2007; Martini et al. 2009; Haines et al. 2012; Elhert et al. 2014)

High z: Suppression of luminous AGN fraction in dense environments may invert.
(e.g. Lehmer et al. 2009; Digby-North et al. 2010; Martini et al. 2013)

Dependence with cluster mass, AGN luminosity, cluster radii,
 AGN and cluster selection. (e.g. Popesso \& Biviano 2006; Sivakoff et al. 2008; Georgakakis et al. 2008; Silverman et al. 2009; Ehlert et al. 2012; 2016; Koulouridis et al. 2014)

AGN evo (1+z) $\left.{ }^{5.3+-2 ; ~ S F ~ e v o ~(1+z) ~}\right)^{5.7+-2}$
Martini et al. 2009; Haines et al. 2009

## AGN in clusters: Big Picture

How does the evolution of Black Holes relate to the evolution of cosmic structure?

Conceputally simple:

1) Detect BH
2) Identify their environments

But...

1) Large areas of sky required
2) Spectroscopic follow-up is expensive
3) X-ray bright AGN are rare in clusters ( $<3$ per cluster)

## Statistical model for cluster AGN

Can mitigate these challenges using:

1) Pointed $X$-ray observations of clusters
2) Making differential measurements

$$
N_{\mathrm{obs}}=N_{\mathrm{clus}}+N_{\text {field }}
$$

3) Utilize our knowledge of how large scale structure evolves to statistically combine signals - needs robust $Z_{\text {clus }}, r_{500}$, $M_{500}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{\text {obs }}(>f, r, z)=A D_{A}^{2} r_{500} \Phi(>L, z)\left(\frac{r}{r_{500}}\right)^{\beta}+N_{\text {field }} \\
A \rightarrow A_{0} f\left(M_{500}, z\right) \quad \beta \rightarrow \beta_{0} g\left(M_{500}, z\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## 1st generation results


135 X-ray selected galaxy clusters
(Mantz et al. 2010)

11,671 X-ray AGN in cluster fields

Cluster fields cover ~12 sq degree area

Total Chandra exposure time was 6.3 Ms
$3 x$ larger than previous surveys

## 1st generation results




Projected number density of AGN increases towards the cluster centre while the AGN fraction declines.

## 1st generation results

Is increased number density related to the mass, redshift or radius of the host cluster?

Null hypothesis = no difference between evolution of cluster AGN and field AGN

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{\mathrm{obs}}(>f, r, z)=A D_{A}^{2} r_{500} \Phi(>L, z)\left(\frac{r}{r_{500}}\right)^{\beta}+N_{\text {field }} \\
A \rightarrow A_{0} f\left(M_{500}, z\right) \quad \beta \rightarrow \beta_{0} g\left(M_{500}, z\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

No evolution beyond the field AGN population with redshift. No radial variation with cluster properties. But...

## 1st generation results



Approximately inverse scaling of AGN number density with host cluster mass.

Observed mass scaling $\zeta=-1.2$
$\zeta=0$ rejected at $>99.9 \%$
No evidence (yet) for evolution of radial scaling - so process occurs on same length scales irrespective of mass

Mergers? Rate of galaxy mergers in massive clusters scales as $\sim \sigma^{-3} \sim M^{-1}$ (e.g. Mamon 1992)

AGN triggering/suppression: Ram pressure? Harassment? Strangulation? May lead to different radial profiles (e.g. Treu et al. 2003).

Limited by statistics and short lever arms in cluster mass and redshift
Ehlert et al. 2015

## 2nd generation

1. Greater statistics
2. Greater lever arm

480 clusters. Depth $>10 \mathrm{ks}$.
Total exposure $=25.7 \mathrm{Ms}$ Total Area $=\sim 40$ degrees $^{2}$



## 2nd generation

Completeness

## AGN ONLY



AGN + CLUSTER


Purity



Completeness and purity of the AGN sample: Need to both efficiently and cleanly find point sources in cluster fields.

We use a 2-step process (wavdetect+Acis Extract) with settings optimized using simulations of cluster fields.

## 2nd generation

Forecast results for 2nd generation of 480 galaxy cluster:



Factor 4 better in redshift evolution; factor 2 better in variation with host galaxy cluster mass (watch out for results in early 2017).

## Multi-Spectral Analysis

Towards a more complete census of cluster AGN and host galaxy

## properties

1. Differences in accretion modes: Radio/IR and Optically selected AGN number densities as a function of host cluster properties. Radio AGN work led by A. King, IR studies in collaboration with SPT.
2. Spectroscopic redshift classification - greatly lowers AGN 'background' and enables measurement of AGN fractions as a function of host galaxy stellar mass. VIMOS survey of 10 clusters led by E. Noordeh.

3. Can also use spec-z to train photo-z for large sample. In collaboration with G. Yang and N. Brandt.


## How will X-ray Surveyor do?

Non-optimized case study:
Assuming:

1) same exposure as current

Chandra 1st generation results (6.3 Ms)
2) single flux limit ( $\sim 5 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{erg} /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ - should do $>$ factor 10 better in flux)
3) 10 ks obs (630 clusters)
4) Drawing from $\mathrm{M}_{500}>10^{14}$ Msun and $\mathrm{z}<2.0$

## How will X-ray Surveyor do?

Non-optimized case study:
Assuming:

1) same exposure as current

Chandra 1st generation results (6.3 Ms)
2) single flux limit ( $\sim 5 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{erg} /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ - should do >factor 10 better in flux)
3) 10 ks obs (630 clusters)
4) Drawing from $\mathrm{M}_{500}>10^{14}$ Msun
 and $\mathrm{z}<2.0$

Redshift index $\eta$
Naivest experiment ~factor 10 better constraints than Chandra NEXT DECADE:
Host of excellent cluster finders (Athena, eRosita, Euclid and CMB-S4). Combine with Euclid/LSST/DESI/WFIRST/SKA to learn about evolution of SF and AGN in dense environments and understand the transition between radiatively efficient and inefficient AGN in clusters.

## 

1st generation: The number density of X-Ray AGN in clusters depends inversely on the host cluster's mass.

The next generation will improve uncertainties by a factor of 4 in the current state-of-the-art for redshift evolution of X-Ray AGN in clusters.

We can continue to improve with higher statistics and longer redshift lever arms - ideally one needs a large FOV with sub-arcsecond PSF to efficiently answer the question of how AGN and large-scale-structure co-evolve (X-ray Surveyor).

Combining with observations at other wavelengths is very powerful for 1) decreasing the AGN background and 2) learning about the AGN host galaxy properties and examining the transition between radiatively efficient and inefficient accretion.

## Extra slides

## Second generation CATS project - X-ray AGN



Chandra PSF grows quickly off axis

1. Generate fake AGN and clusters
2. Test completeness and purity of the catalogue


## How will X-ray Surveyor do?


 High angular resolution needed to:

1) Enable source matching (for host gal properties and for purity of AGN sample)
2) Distinguish AGN and peaked (often clumpy) cluster light
3) Explore radial AGN fractions Must have good PSF across whole FOV

## How will X-ray Surveyor do?



$10 \mathrm{ks}=1.2 \mathrm{e}-16 \mathrm{erg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$
$50 \mathrm{ks}=2.4 \mathrm{e}-17 \mathrm{erg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$
$100 \mathrm{ks}=1.2 \mathrm{e}-17 \mathrm{erg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$
With 10ks exposure probe to or below knee at all redshifts

## Future: Synergy with other observatories

X-ray surveyor - wide FOV and good PSF crucial Athena, eRosita, Euclid and CMB-S4 - excellent cluster finders

Also great synergy with other observatories:
Number density of AGN in cluster fields - highly background dominated. 1) JWST, Euclid, LSST, WFIRST, DESI : IR/optical - greatly reduce this background component - spec-z's, photo-z's, simple magnitude cuts. 2) Allow AGN fraction as function of galaxy properties such as stellar mass. Comparison with SF fraction evolution and with morphology of hosts. 3) Radio SKA AGN properties can be compared with X-ray AGN to examine the transition between radiatively efficient and inefficient accretion


## Multi-Spectral Analysis

Towards a more complete census of cluster AGN and host galaxy properties


1. Are the obscuration properties of AGN in cluster fields different from field galaxies?
2. How do the number densities of obscured and unobscured AGN in clusters vary with the mass, radial position and redshift of clusters?
3. Are AGN in clusters more or less likely to reside in star-forming hosts.
4. How does the number density of starforming AGN vary with the cluster radius and redshift?

## IR selected AGN and host gal SF

|  | Chandra | GALEX |  | WISE | Spitzer |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AIS | MIS | DIS | W1-W4 | IRAC | MIPS $24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | MIPS 70 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ |  |
| Cluster fields | 435 | 312 | 70 | 13 | 435 | 275 | 179 | 90 | 435 |




1. Are the obscuration properties of AGN in cluster fields different from field galaxies?
2. How do the number densities of obscured and unobscured AGN in clusters vary with the mass, radial position and redshift of clusters?
3. Are AGN in clusters more or less likely to reside in star-forming hosts, and how does the number density of star-forming AGN vary with the cluster radius and redshift?

## A multi-spectral analysis

## 2020/30s: Finding clusters not a problem



CMB-S4: $\mathrm{N}_{\text {clus }}>100,000$ (higher z and lower M, 20,000 deg $^{2}$ )
eRosita (All sky), LSST (20,000 deg $^{2}$ ) and Euclid (15,000 deg²)

## Big Questions?

Gultekin et al. 2009



Galaxy and BH evolution is intimately connected

## Extra slides

JWST 6.5 meter, Octeber 2018, 5-10 years duration, 0.1 are secends, $0.6-28.5$ micrens (NIRCam
 arcmin IFU 900 spectra $3 \times 3$ arc secondsand a Sif) (MRTS5 $2.2 \times 2.2$ arcmins imaging and slitless spectroscopy in various ways) (MIRI making and spec 5-28.5 microns, 3 to 7 arcsec on a side)
Euclid - 1.2 meter telescope, launch 2020, (VIS 5500-9000 A, 0.787x0.709 degrees, 0.1 arcsec pixels) (NISP, $0.763 \times 0.722$ degrees, imaging in Y (920-1146 nm) J (1146-1372 nm) and H (13722000 nm ) and spectroscopy between $1100-2000 \mathrm{~nm}$ all with 0.3 arcsec pixels)
WFIRST - early 2020's, 2.4 m, (wide field instrument, 0.76-2 microns in imaging and 1.35-1.95 in spectroscopy in 0.281 degrees squared and an IFU but only $3 \times 3.15$ arcsec) (coronagraph) LSST - first light in 2019, 8.4 meter mirror, 3.5 degrees or 9.6 square degrees, $u, g, r, i, z, y$

SKA - construction in 2018 and early science in 2020. Angular resolution of 0.1 arc second with a imaging field of view of 1 degree at $0.03-20 \mathrm{GHz}$

Athena - launch in 2028, (XIFU, 2.5 eV spectral resolution, $5^{\prime \prime}$ pixels, 5 arcsin fov) (WFI, $0.2-15 \mathrm{keV}$ energy band, $40 \times 40$ arc min fov pixels size of about $2.5^{\prime \prime}$ and psf is $5^{\prime \prime}$ on axis half energy width)
E-Rosita - launch 2017-L2-0.3-10 keV, 16 to $28^{\prime \prime}$ on axis, 138 eV resolution at 6 keV , FOV about a degree in diameter ( 0.833 degree ${ }^{\wedge} 2$ ???)

CMB-S4- ???

DESI -
$40 \mathrm{~m} \times 57$ copes?
16/08/2016 - Boston

