# Chandra high-resolution X-ray spectroscopy: shocking view on massive stars

# Lidia Oskinova

Potsdam University, Germany



ACIS Raw Detector Image of O-type supergiant  $\zeta$  Puppis

#### Chandra Science for the Next Decade Chandra Workshop 2016

Hot massive stars drive supersonic stellar winds

X-rays are ubiquitously observed from stars with supersonic winds

# Shocks Heating X-Ray

• a massive star may deposit up to 10<sup>51</sup> erg to the ISM

• a massive star may deposit up to  $150M_{\odot}$  of matter to the ISM

Massive star cluster Westerlund 2 (NASA/CXC)



Mass removal by wind drives the evolution

- OB → (LBV, RGS) → WN
   → WC → WO
- Winds are getting denser and more enriched



# X-rays: elucidate all stages of massive star lives

# Chandra's guided tour through the upper part of the HRD

141 Tranky

SHERE 27E





# Stop I. Main sequence OB stars & the Weak Wind Problem

### OB-dwarfs - the most common type of massive stars!



 $\dot{M}_{obs}$  derived from optical/UV is 100 lower than predicted  $\dot{M}_{theory}$ 

Rosat observations of B stars EM(X-ray) > EM(optical/UV) (Drew+ 94, Cassinelli+ 94)

Do X-rays quench stellar winds?
Is the major part of the

wind very hot T> 10MK?

Chandra grating spectra can help to answer these fundamental questions about stellar winds

# Chandra grating spectra of main sequence O stars

 $\zeta$  Oph (O9.2IV),  $\mu$  Col (O9.5 V), AE Aur (O9.5 V),  $\sigma$  Ori AB (O9.5 V + B0.5 V): similar X-ray grating spectra

Spectral diagnostics: line ratios in He-like ions, line shapes, evidence of wind absorption:

- X-rays are generated very close to the photosphere
- Hot plasma occupies large volume
- Hot plasma expands supersonically



μ Col (O9.5V) Chandra & Suzaku (Huenemoerder+ 12)

Lines profiles from high resolution LETGS spectrum of  $\mu$  Col and our 3-D wind model fit (Huenemoerder+ 12)



 $\dot{M}_{X-ray}$  from X-ray spectra

07

 $log \dot{M}_{X-ray} = -8.7 [M_{\odot}/yr]$  $log \dot{M}_{UV} = -9.5 [M_{\odot}/yr]$ 

Most of the wind matter is hot!

velocity <sub>hot gas</sub> > velocity <sub>cool gas</sub> (1600 km/s > 1200 km/s)

Chandra chandges stellar wind paradigm: winds of OB dwarfs are predominantly hot (e.g. Lucy'12).

# **Stop II. OB (super)giants and their X-ray lines**

- Strong and often enriched winds
- Effective in absorbing X-rays
- Strong clumping



UV and optical diagnostics of M are affected by clumping. What are the real mass-loss rates of OB stars?

**Stewart & Fabian' 81:** Einstein spectra; transfer of X-rays through a wind to determine stellar mass-loss rates: M(X-ray) is lower by a factor of a few than M(optical)!

our 3-D model of clumped stellar wind

# X-ray emission line shapes probe wind density



Comparison of observed NeX line in spectrum of ζ Pup with model lines -> X-ray line shape and flux are sensitive to mass-loss rate M (Macfarlane+ 91, Oskinova+ 06)

# **HETGS** spectra of **OB**-supergiants



But! line shape and flux are also sensitive to: clumping, velocity field, abundnaces, wind geometry, hot plasma distribution, resonant scattering, cool wind ionization, etc...

Model OVII lines assuming different M

Comparison of observed NeX line in spectrum of ζ Pup with model lines -> X-ray line shape and flux are sensitive to M (Oskinova+ 06, Cohen+ 09)



# **Breaking degeneracy: non-LTE models with X-rays**



Analysis of UV, optical, IR spectra with non-LTE wind model **PoWR: Teff, R, M, abundances, cool wind velocity and M** 

- PoWR model includes effect of X-rays on cool wind: Auger ionization
- The cool wind attentuates X-rays only multiwavelength analysis delivers realistic wind paramters ( $v_w$ ,  $\dot{M}$ ).

# Derived M well agree with theoretical predictions



Observed vs model lines in an O-sta  $\delta$  Ori: large CXO (PI Corcoran)

 Model lines: 2.5-D radiative transfer models in inhomogeneous stellar wind

 Consistent wind parameters from modeling X-ray and UV/optical spectra: deriving realistic mass-loss rates

Community driven proposal ~1Ms to get the Legacy quality HETGS spectrum of an O star (PI Waldron)  $\zeta$  Pup: much needed!

# Stop III: Hypergiants & LBVs



### Hypergiants and LBVs are X-ray dark





# unless in a binary

HETG spectrum of Cyg OB2 12 non-LTE wind analysis previous XMM observations HST observations

Colliding wind binary primary mass M>120M<sub>o</sub>

# Stop IV: Volf-Rayet stars

- Wolf-Rayet stars final evolutionary stage
- Metal enhanced (e.g. Na X-ray lines)
- very strong dense winds
- how X-rays are produced? (Gayley' 16)





#### Broad skewed lines: opaque wind



# Final stop: high-mass X-ray binary

# II. Primary explodes as a SN

I. Massive binary

### IV. Double NS or BH

III. Neutron star accretes secondary's wind: X-ray pulsar

# Sealed by Chandra: accreting X-ray pulsar within a SNR<sup>17</sup>

SXP 1062: young long period X-ray pulsar (10-20 kyr) in the SMC



Image: CXO & optical (Henault-Brunet+ 12, CXO Large program)

# Using neutron stars to probe supergiants winds



HETGS spectra of QV Nor (4U153852), B0I + NS embedded in stellar wind ( $a_{NS}$  <1.4 R\*).

- Monitoring around eclipse: FeK is partially eclipsed → formed close to the NS
- 3-D wind simulatins of X-ray variability stellar wind is strongly clumped at < 1.2 R\*</li>
- Clumping affects photoionized region (Oskinova' 12)

# Simultaneous UV-ray observations of massive donors



Simultaneous Hubble Space Telescope and X-ray (Swift and Chandra) survey of HMXBs

 Stellar wind spectrum and model fit: HST STIS strictly simultaneous with Chandra (DDT time).

• Stellar spectrum is obtained during flare: X-rays illuminate but do not quench the wind

# X-rays observations of massive stars are indispensable



- Long exposures for single objects are requested
- Monitoring observations to probe wind dynamics
- Joint observations are requested to obtain a multi $\lambda$  view
- (...emotional appeal: we need UV spectrometer in space!)
- Joint Chandra, XMM-Newton, Swift observations!!