Inflow and Outflow in the Broad Line Region of AGN

Anna Pancoast

(Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics)

Einstein Fellows Symposium, October 13, 2017

Collaborators:

Catherine Grier (PSU) Michael Fausnaugh (OSU) Peter Williams (UCLA) Brendon Brewer (U. Auckland) Tommaso Treu (UCLA) Aaron Barth (UCI) Keith Horne (St. Andrews)

Lick AGN Monitoring Project (LAMP) 2008, 2011, and 2016 reverberation mapping campaigns

OSU 2010, 2014 reverberation mapping collaboration

AGN STORM collaboration

LCO AGN Key Project collaboration

Measuring black hole masses in AGN

Broad line region

Anna Pancoast, CfA

Credit: NASA/CXC/M.Weiss

Measuring black hole masses in AGN

Substitute time resolution for spatial resolution

Resolve motions of broad line region gas around the black hole

Broad line region

Anna Pancoast, CfA

Credit: NASA/CXC/M.Weiss



Blandford & McKee 1982 Peterson 1993 Peterson et al. 2004 Peterson 2014 And Bentz et al. 2015 for the AGN Black Hole Mass Database

Credit: NASA/CXC/M.Weiss



Accretion disk

Broad line region gas

Blandford & McKee 1982 Peterson 1993 Peterson et al. 2004 Peterson 2014 And Bentz et al. 2015 for the AGN Black Hole Mass Database

Credit: NASA/CXC/M.Weiss



Accretion disk

Broad line region gas

Ionizing photons to the observer



Time

Continuum emission from accretion disk

Anna Pancoast, CfA

Flux



broad line region gas

Accretion disk

Broad line region gas

Ionizing photons to the observer



Time

Continuum emission from accretion disk

Anna Pancoast, CfA

Flux















Measuring M_{BH} at higher z



Allows for single-epoch AGN black hole mass estimates:

- Use line width to get $oldsymbol{v}$

Apply to any AGN with a broad emission line spectrum

→ large source of uncertainty is unknown structure and evolution of the BLR

How can we measure the properties of the broad line region?



A simply parameterized phenomenological model for the broad line region



A simply parameterized phenomenological model for the broad line region

Radial profile of emission:





What is the *structure* of the Hβ-emitting broad line region?



Anna Pancoast, CfA

Inferred broad line region geometry

The Lick AGN Monitoring Project (LAMP) 2008 results

(Pancoast, Brewer, Treu et al. 2014)

- Hβ-emitting geometry: close to face-on thick disks
- Consistent with preferential emission back towards central ionizing source
- Dynamics: inflow and/or elliptical orbits
- Black hole mass constrained with 0.15 0.3 dex uncertainty

Data from: Bentz et al. 2009, Walsh et al. 2009 Spectral decomposition from: Park et al. 2012a





Data from: Grier et al. 2012b

- Higher black hole mass, redshift, and AGN luminosity
- Dynamics mostly inflow
- 3C 120 has a measured radio jet inclination angle ~16 deg (Agudo+12)

(Grier, Pancoast et al. In press,arXiv:1705.02346)

The OSU 2010 reverberation mapping results



Data from: Fausnaugh et al. 2017b

The OSU 2014 reverberation mapping *preliminary* results

• 2+ AGNs with data quality sufficient for BLR modeling

• NGC 2617 is a "changing-look" AGN and new reverberation mapping target



Data from: Barth et al. 2015

The Lick AGN Monitoring Project 2011

• Campaign designed with BLR modeling in mind

- First 4 AGN with preference for outflowing dynamics
- Second dataset
 for Arp 151

(Williams, Pancoast et al. in preparation)

What is the *structure* of the $H\beta$ -emitting broad line region?

• Geometry: close to face-on thick disk, preferential emission back towards ionizing source, partly opaque disk mid-plane



How *robust* are these constraints?

Data from: Bentz et al. 2009 (LAMP 2008) Barth et al. 2015 (LAMP 2011) Valenti et al. 2015 (LCO AGN Key Project 2015)



Anna Pancoast, CfA

(Pancoast et al. in preparation)

How *robust* are these constraints?

Data from: 6.4Bentz et al. 2009 (LAMP 2008) $r_{\rm mean}$ (light days) Barth et al. 2015 (LAMP 2011) Valenti et al. 2015 (LCO AGN Key Project 2015) 90 8(Flux (relative) 60 3050 $\theta_o \; (\mathrm{deg})$ 2540 2030 4520 4540 4560 4580 4600 4920 49404960 4980 5000 5020 15Flux (relative) 25 $\theta_i \; (\deg)$ 20155740 4940 4960 4980 56405660 5680 5700 57204920 5000 5020 106 Flux (relative) 0.40.3 $f_{\rm ellip}$ 0.20.1-24980 7100 7150 4940 4960 5000 7000 7050 4920 5020HJD - 2450000Wavelength (Å) 2008 2011Time (years)

Evolution in the BLR?

2015

7.4

7.2

7.0 6.8 6.6

 $\log_{10}(M_{\rm bh}/M_{\odot})$

Anna Pancoast, CfA

(Pancoast et al. in preparation)

What about the big picture?

An average value of the *f* factor



Anna Pancoast, CfA

Dependence of **f** on AGN/BLR properties



The f factor is most strongly correlated with inclination angle and opening angle of the disk

(Williams, Pancoast et al. in preparation)

Where do we go from here?

The new generation of reverberation mapping experiments

The AGN Space Telescope and Optical Reverberation Mapping Program (AGN STORM)

Monitored NGC 5548 using HST + optical ground-based telescopes covering Ly α , CIV, and H β simultaneously





From Fausnaugh et al. 2016

Reverberation mapping of the AGN continuum:

- •The accretion disk is larger than anticipated
- •There is a measurable time lag between the UV and optical
- •Inner broad line region overlaps with accretion disk

The new generation of reverberation mapping experiments

The AGN Space Telescope and Optical Reverberation Mapping Program (AGN STORM)

Monitored NGC 5548 using HST + optical ground-based telescopes covering Ly α , CIV, and H β simultaneously





The Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO) AGN Key Project

Fully robotic monitoring of low-z AGNs, follow-up to explore evolution in the broad line region

The new generation of reverberation mapping experiments

The AGN Space Telescope and Optical Reverberation Mapping Program (AGN STORM)

Monitored NGC 5548 using HST + optical ground-based telescopes covering Ly α , CIV, and H β simultaneously





a global telescope network

The Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO) AGN Key Project

Fully robotic monitoring of low-z AGNs, follow-up to explore evolution in the broad line region

The Lick AGN Monitoring Project 2016

Monitoring \sim 20 AGNs with higher luminosities, larger masses, and bigger broad line regions.

How does broad line region structure scale with AGN luminosity? Do we find more outflows?



Conclusions

- Broad line region modeling of reverberation mapping allows us to:
 - Measure AGN black hole masses more precisely (0.1 – 0.3 dex uncertainty vs. ~0.4 dex)
 - Make first measurements of *f* for individual AGN
 - Constrain the detailed geometry and dynamics of the broad line region
- In progress: increasing the sample from 10 to 15+ AGN with broad line region modeling
- Flexible framework to test broad line region models (e.g. AGN outflow models, photoionization physics)