

A Decade in the Life of the Massive Black-Hole Binary IC10 X-1 Silas Laycock¹

Rigel Cappallo¹, Andrea Prestwich², Benjamin F. Williams³, Ascension Camero⁴, Tom Maccarone⁵ (1) UMass Lowell, (2) Harvard (3) University of Washington, (4) NASA/GSFC, (5) Texas Tech.

Abstract

Chandra thanks to its angular resolution, sensitivity and endurance has been able to monitor individual X-ray binaries in the starburst galaxy IC 10. The Wolf Rayet + BH binary known as IC10 X-1 is regarded as one of the most massive stellar black holes; a class of objects representing the pinnacle of the stellar mass function. BH binaries occupy key roles in seeding SMBHs, producing long GRBs at birth, and gravitational waves at death. We report our use of Chandra to refine the orbital ephemeris of X1 and match-up the radial velocity curve of the optical spectral lines with the X-ray eclipse. The resulting phase offset has fascinating implications for our understanding of the interactions between the WR star, its wind, and the radiation field of the compact object. Among other things it appears that the need for a massive BH in the system is evaporating along with the dynamical evidence. The RV curve appears to instead trace a slim sector of stellar wind that escapes ionization in the X-ray shadow of the star.

Long-Term Lightcurve from Chandra and XMM-Newton



Chandra Broad-band (0.3-8 keV, black), Soft-band (0.3-1.5 keV) and Hard-band (2.5-8 keV). XMM points (open circles) have been scaled using PIMMS to match ACIS. The grey points are the count rates binned at 1000s within each observation. The hardness ratio (H/S) spiked during the 2009 monitoring series.

Lightcurves and Hardness Ratios within each Observation



This work was supported by NASA and the Chandra X-ray Observatory (Award NAS8-03060)

Phase-Connecting the X-ray Lightcurve

• We folded the 12 X-ray light-curve segments on a series of trial periods spanning the 3σ uncertainty region for the orbital period reported by Silverman & Fillipenko (2008). • At each trial period we computed the mean count-rate in the phase range 0.4-0.6, which is mid-eclipse. • Chandra and XMM-Newton data were analyzed separately, yielding two sets of flux-minima, most of which are mutually exclusive.

• The resulting best period, also found by the Lomb-Scargle periodogram, is 125431s (1.45175(1) d) • Visual inspection confirms no other trial-period can simultaneously place all low-flux points inside eclipse and all high-flux points outside eclipse.

- ingress/egress stages.
- extended eclipsing body.
- Egress is more gradual than ingress
- More flaring is seen before eclipse

feature leads the rise in broad-band flux. If we were simply seeing a point source with wind-

absorption, the HR would go the other way

Suggests an extended hard corona.

References:

Laycock, S., Cappallo, R., Moro, M., 2014, *MNRAS*, arXiv: 1410, 3417 Silverman & Fillipenko, 2007, ApJ, 678, L17, Prestwich et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 669 L21, van Kerkwijk, M., H., 1993, A&A, 276, 9,

The table gives computed binary parameters for variants of the massive BH model and a lower mass BH or neutron star model.

| M_{WR} | M_X | i | $a_1 + a_2$ | v_{WR} | v_X | T_E | R(s) | R_{WR} | T_{WR} |
|-------------|-------------|-----|-------------|----------|--------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| M_{\odot} | M_{\odot} | deg | R_{\odot} | km/s | km/s | hr | R_{\odot} | R_{\odot} | \mathbf{hr} |
| | 0 | 0 | | , | / | | | | |
| 35 | 32 | 90 | 23.27 | 364.7 | 398.9 | 5 | 9.88 | 2 | 1.01 |
| 17 | 23 | 90 | 19.59 | 369.7 | 273.3 | 5 | 8.32 | 1.5 | 0.90 |
| | | | | | | - | | | |
| 35 | 1.4 | 90 | 18.99 | 23.96 | 599.10 | 5 | 8.06 | 2 | 1.24 |
| 35 | 2.5 | 90 | 19.18 | 41.95 | 587.32 | 5 | 8.14 | 2 | 1.23 |
| 17 | 1.4 | 90 | 15.13 | 37.76 | 458.57 | 5 | 6.42 | 1.5 | 1.17 |
| 17 | 2.5 | 90 | 15.42 | 64.88 | 441.15 | 5 | 6.55 | 1.5 | 1.15 |
| | | | | 52.00 | | | | 1.0 | 2.20 |

ア