

Chandra X-ray Observatory

G. Fabbiano Harvard-Smithsonian CfA August 2011 - CfA

Chandra Observations of Galaxies AGN – host interaction

MAIN CONTRIBUTORS – XRBS, HOT ISM, NUCLEI



X-RAY EMISSION – XRB POPULATIONS

- NS and BH
 - XRBs are individually detected
 » Markers of parent stellar population
 Luminosity functions
 Population studies

M83 – Soria & Wu 2003







Chandra ACIS

AGE EFFECT ON XLF – M83



Soria & Wu 2003

- Flat power-law XLF in starburst nucleus
- Broken power-law in older disk
 - Aging = depletion of most luminous HMXB

X-RAY EMISSION – HOT ISM

- Halo evolution in E & S0
 energy input vs Dark Matter
- Metal enrichment
 SNII, SNIa
 - NGC 4038/9



HOT ISM OF THE ANTENNAE – METAL ABUNDANCES



Yields consistent with SNII enrichment



Baldi et al 2006a, b

HOT ISM OF THE ANTENNAE – METAL ABUNDANCES



Yields consistent with SNII enrichment



Baldi et al 2006a, b

HOT ISM OF THE ANTENNAE – METAL ABUNDANCES







Baldi et al 2006a, b



- Direct imaging/spectra of circum-nuclear regions
- Accretion and feedback





Guido Risaliti

Junfeng Wang

Martin Elvis



- Direct imaging/spectra of circum-nuclear regions
- Accretion and feedback









Martin Elvis

Guido Risaliti



- Accretion-fed BH
 - Obscuring torus
- Photoionization
- Ionization cone





- Accretion-fed BH
 - Obscuring torus
- Photoionization
- Ionization cone
- Are obscuring tori really there?





- Accretion-fed BH
 - Obscuring torus
- Photoionization
- Ionization cone
- Are obscuring tori really there?
- Some AGN are also ULIRGS
 - Star formation and AGN emission?
 - Effect of radio jet?





- Accretion-fed BH
 - Obscuring torus
- Photoionization
- Ionization cone
- Are obscuring tori really there?
- Some AGN are also ULIRGS
 - Star formation and AGN emission?
 - Effect of radio jet?
- AGN feedback?





- Accretion-fed BH
 - Obscuring torus
- Photoionization
- Ionization cone
- Are obscuring tori really there?
- Some AGN are also ULIRGS
 - Star formation and AGN emission?
 - Effect of radio jet?
- AGN feedback?
- Case studies: NGC 1365; NGC 4151; Mkn 573,



NGC 4151 – AGN / GALAXY INTERACTION

Chandra study: 50 Ks HRC + 200 Ks ACIS-S

Wang, J. et al 2009 – 2011 6 papers D ~13 Mpc 1" ~65 pc 1' ~ 4 kpc

2'

Wang et al 2009, 2011

Chandra – HRC
•No energy resolution
•Pixel (.13") < than PSF
•PSF very well-calibrated



EMC2 deconvolution

Wang et al 2009, 2011

Chandra – HRC
•No energy resolution
•Pixel (.13") < than PSF
•PSF very well-calibrated

Chandra – ACIS
Energy resolution
Pixel (.49") > PSF





EMC2 deconvolution

Wang et al 2009, 2011

Chandra – HRC
•No energy resolution
•Pixel (.13") < than PSF
•PSF very well-calibrated

Chandra – ACIS
•Energy resolution
•Pixel (.49") > PSF

Subpixel imaging



Wang et al 2009, 2011

Chandra – HRC
•No energy resolution
•Pixel (.13") < than PSF
•PSF very well-calibrated

Chandra – ACIS
Energy resolution
Pixel (.49") > PSF

Subpixel imaging

•Photon 'pileup'



Wang et al 2009, 2011

Chandra – HRC
•No energy resolution
•Pixel (.13") < than PSF
•PSF very well-calibrated

Chandra – ACIS
Energy resolution
Pixel (.49") > PSF

Subpixel imaging

•Photon 'pileup'

Requires care
 We have established that it does not affect the extended features



Wang et al 2009, 2011

Chandra – HRC
•No energy resolution
•Pixel (.13") < than PSF
•PSF very well-calibrated

Chandra – ACIS
Energy resolution
Pixel (.49") > PSF

Subpixel imaging

•Photon 'pileup'

Requires care
 We have established that it does not affect the extended features

















Interaction HI HI – Mundell & Shone 1999 Interaction Halpha - Knapen et ΗП Ν 30" 1.95 kpc









The X-ray emission of the cavity is not due to unresolved stellar sources











Wang et al 2010



X-ray spectrum fit requires nuclear power-law (PSF wings + stellar) + additional component, either Photoionized log ξ = 1.7±0.2 ionization param. ξ = L/nR² or Thermal kT = 0.25±0.4 keV



Photo-ionization Given ξ , n=2 cm⁻³ (HI), R=3kpc \rightarrow L ~ 6×10⁴⁵ erg s⁻¹ ~L_E \rightarrow Time ~10⁴ yrs (either recombination or light travel)



Wang et al 2010



X-ray spectrum fit requires nuclear power-law (PSF wings + stellar) + additional component, either Photoionized log ξ = 1.7±0.2 ionization param. ξ = L/nR² or Thermal kT = 0.25±0.4 keV



Photo-ionization Given ξ , n=2 cm⁻³ (HI), R=3kpc \rightarrow L ~ 6×10⁴⁵ erg s⁻¹ ~L_E \rightarrow Time ~10⁴ yrs (either recombination or light travel)





Wang et al 2010



X-ray spectrum fit requires nuclear power-law (PSF wings + stellar) + additional component, either Photoionized log ξ = 1.7±0.2 ionization param. ξ = L/nR² or Thermal kT = 0.25±0.4 keV



Photo-ionization Given ξ , n=2 cm⁻³ (HI), R=3kpc \rightarrow L ~ 6×10⁴⁵ erg s⁻¹ ~L_E \rightarrow Time ~10⁴ yrs (either recombination or light travel)



 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{Thermal-semi-confined\ hot\ gas} \\ E_{th} \sim 3 \times 10^{54}\ ergs,\ \tau_c \ \sim 10^8\ yr,\ M \sim 3 \times 10^6 \\ M_{\odot} \quad L_{\chi} \sim 10^{39}\ erg\ s^{\text{--}1} \\ Hot\ gas\ in\ pressure\ balance\ with\ HI \end{array}$



Wang et al 2010



X-ray spectrum fit requires nuclear power-law (PSF wings + stellar) + additional component, either Photoionized log ξ = 1.7±0.2 ionization param. ξ = L/nR² or Thermal kT = 0.25±0.4 keV



Photo-ionization Given ξ , n=2 cm⁻³ (HI), R=3kpc \rightarrow L ~ 6×10⁴⁵ erg s⁻¹ ~L_E \rightarrow Time ~10⁴ yrs (either recombination or light travel)



Thermal – semi-confined hot gas E_{th} ~ 3×10⁵⁴ ergs, T_c ~10⁸ yr, M~3×10⁶ M_{\odot} L_X~10³⁹ erg s⁻¹ Hot gas in pressure balance with HI Given present L_{bol} ~ 7×10⁴³ erg s⁻¹ If 5% goes into cavity (Hopkins et al 2005) → Time ~4×10⁴ yrs of AGN heating to create Eth → Also expansion time off disk ~10⁵ yrs



or

Th

Wang et al 2010



Photo-ionization Given ξ , n=2 cm⁻³ (HI), R=3kpc \rightarrow L ~ 6×10⁴⁵ erg s⁻¹ ~L_E \rightarrow Time ~10⁴ yrs (either recombination or light travel)



X-ray spectrum fit requires nuclear power-law (PSF wings + stellar) + additional component, either Photoionized $\log \xi = 1.7 \pm 0.2$ ionization param. $\xi = L/nR^2$

This could be

Steady-state <u>Thermal – semi-confined hot gas</u> E_{th} ~ 3×10⁵⁴ ergs, T_c ~10⁸ yr, M~3×10⁶ M_{\odot} L_X~10³⁹ erg s⁻¹ Hot gas in pressure balance with HI Given present L_{bol} ~ 7×10⁴³ erg s⁻¹ If 5% goes into cavity (Hopkins et al 2005) → Time ~4×10⁴ yrs of AGN heating to create Eth → Also expansion time off disk ~10⁵ yrs

1.95 kpc



Th

Wang et al 2010



Photo-ionization Given ξ , n=2 cm⁻³ (HI), R=3kpc \rightarrow L ~ 6×10⁴⁵ erg s⁻¹ ~L_E \rightarrow Time ~10⁴ yrs (either recombination or light travel)



X-ray spectrum fit requires nuclear power-law (PSF wings + stellar) + additional component, either Photoionized $\log \xi = 1.7 \pm 0.2$ ionization param. $\xi = L/nR^2$ or

This could be

Steady-state <u>Thermal – semi-confined hot gas</u> E_{th} ~ 3×10⁵⁴ ergs, T_c ~10⁸ yr, M~3×10⁶ M_{\odot} L_X~10³⁹ erg s⁻¹ Hot gas in pressure balance with HI Given present L_{bol} ~ 7×10⁴³ erg s⁻¹ If 5% goes into cavity (Hopkins et al 2005) → Time ~4×10⁴ yrs of AGN heating to create Eth → Also expansion time off disk ~10⁵ yrs

1.95 kpc

→How much of this outflow is powered by SN heating? (see M31, Bogdan & Gilfanov 2008)










NGC 4151 – X-RAY ABSORPTION MAP

Wang et al 2011a 1-2 keV / 0.3-1 keV













NGC 4151 – X-RAY ABSORPTION MAP



NGC 4151 – X-RAY ABSORPTION MAP





NGC 4151 – X-RAY EMISSION AND OBSCURING CLOUDS





Wang et al 2011a

- •Assuming no HI, H₂ mass from N_H $\sim 2 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ Mass from H₂ emission $\sim 10^7 - 10^9 M_{\odot}$ (Storchi-Bergmann et al 2009)
- •H2 could be photo-excited from AGN
- ➔Not blocked by molecular torus

→Low CO abundances in nucleus because of X-rays (XDR)





NGC 4151 – X-RAY EMISSION AND OBSCURING CLOUDS

- •Assuming no HI, H₂ mass from N_H ~2×10⁷M_{\odot} Mass from H₂ emission ~10⁷ -10⁹ M_{\odot} (Storchi-Bergmann et al 2009)
- •H2 could be photo-excited from AGN
- ➔Not blocked by molecular torus
- →Low CO abundances in nucleus because of X-ravs (XDR)
- •CO (Dumas et al 2010) and V-H map suggest 'spiral' features accreting to the nucleus





NGC 4151 – DIFFUSE EMISSION SPECTRUM



SPECTRAL ANALYSIS – PHOTO-IONIZATION



SPECTRAL ANALYSIS – PHOTO-IONIZATION









SOFT X-RAY EMISSION OF NGC 4151 CIRCUM-NUCLEAR REGION

Wang et al 2011c

Red – OVII Green NeIX



SOFT X-RAY EMISSION OF NGC 4151 CIRCUM-NUCLEAR REGION

Wang et al 2011c

Red – OVII, Green NeIX



10"





X-RAY EMISSION AND RADIO JET

Wang et al 2009





X-ray emission of radio knots, way in excess of Synchrotron extrapolation (and IC of the CMB)
Thermal emission from cloud interaction?



Chandra ACIS-S



Chandra ACIS-S









Model fit requires thermal kT~0.6 keV component in addition to photoionization
 Pressure equilibrium between collisionally and photo-ionized gas





Model fit requires thermal kT~0.6 keV component in addition to photoionization
 → Pressure equilibrium between collisionally and photo-ionized gas
 → 0.1% of jet power is deposited into the ISM (~1×10³⁹ erg/s ; p_{iet}~10⁴² erg/s)







Is NGC 4151 an oddity?



Is NGC 4151 an oddity? Similar features seen in Mkn 573 (Paggi et al in preparation)







HST/FOC F502N [OIII], Winge et al 1997

Chandra HRC, Wang et al 2009





HST – [OIII]

Chandra ACIS

NGC 4151 – CONSTANT [OIII] / X RATIO FROM ~20 TO 1000 PC Wang et al 2011c



Constant Ionization Parameter $\rightarrow n \sim r^{-2}$ – nuclear wind

NGC 4151 – CONSTANT [OIII] / X RATIO FROM ~20 TO 1000 PC Wang et al 2011c



Constant Ionization Parameter $\rightarrow n \sim r^{-2}$ – nuclear wind



From Cloudy modeling of clouds 1 and 2 \rightarrow dM/dt =n_Hm_pv_rC_gA ~ 2.1 M_☉yr⁻¹ comparable with NIR (Storchi-Bergmann et al 2010) \rightarrow L_{outflow} = ½ dM/dt v² = 1.7×10⁴¹ erg s⁻¹ ~ 0.2% of accretion power

<< than most feedback models

but consistent with 2 stage feedback model (Hopkins & Elvis 2010)



Wang et al 2011c

From Cloudy modeling of clouds 1 and 2 \rightarrow dM/dt =n_Hm_pv_rC_gA ~ 2.1 M_{\odot}yr⁻¹ comparable with NIR (Storchi-Bergmann et al 2010) \rightarrow I = 1/2 dM/dt y² = 1.7x1041 org s⁻¹ ~ 0.2% of accretion power

 $\rightarrow L_{outflow} = \frac{1}{2} dM/dt v^2 = 1.7 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \sim 0.2\% \text{ of accretion power}$

<< than most feedback models

but consistent with 2 stage feedback model (Hopkins & Elvis 2010)





NGC 4151 & Mkn573

NGC 4151 cavity: photoioni _____ and/or heating out to ~2kp Mkn 573: 10 kpc radius ion cone

→ Both: Radio jet /cloud inter
MKN573 (D~70 MPC)– THE CENTRAL R~12" = 4 KPC









MKN573 – OVIII / OVII – PHOTO VS. COLLISIONAL IONIZATION



MKN573 – A VERY EXTENDED IONIZATION REGION – R~10KPC

5

2

0

-1 -2 -

-3 -

-5 -4 -3 -2

Cone SE

-1

0

RA (arcsecs)

1

2 3 4 5

Dec (arcsecs)



SE cone





MKN573 – ANOTHER 'LEAKY TORUS'





- NGC 1365
- D~19 Mpc
- Lots of star formation
- Compton thick highly variable AGN





- NGC 1365
- D~19 Mpc
- Lots of star formation
- Compton thick highly variable AGN





- NGC 1365
- D ~19 Mpc
- Lots of star formation
- Compton thick highly variable AGN





5 arcsec

- NGC 1365
- D ~19 Mpc
- Lots of star formation
- Compton thick highly variable AGN





NGC 1365 – SN II ENRICHED HOT ISM



NGC 1365 – SN II ENRICHED HOT ISM

