Powering the Powerful MS0735.6+7421: Testing the Infall and Spin Paradigms

Brian McNamara

University of Waterloo Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics

Collaborators: F. Kazemzadeh (U. Wat), P.E.J. Nulsen (CfA), L. Birzan (PSU), D. Rafferty (PSU), M. Wise (U. Amsterdam),

### Outline:

- Cluster Scale AGN Outbursts: strain models of jet power & BH growth
- X-ray cavities, shock fronts: gauges of AGN power
- problem with MS07: too much power, not enough fuel
- testing spin power vs accretion power: X-ray constraints on power & energy
- problems with and virtues of the spin hypothesis

Radio Galaxies in the Chandra Era, Cambridge, MA. July 9, 2008



### Measuring Jet Power with X-ray Cavities



# Gas and Dust in the Nucleus





$$L_{Ha} = 1.2 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$$

 $M_{ion} = 4.5 \times 10^{6} M_{o}$ 

 $M_{gas} \sim 4.9 \times 10^7 M_o$  (from dust)

 $M_{CO} < 10^9 M_o$  (Salome & Combes 08)

*Need* 6 x  $10^8$  M<sub>o</sub> to fuel outburst

Barely enough gas to do so!

# **Star Formation Rate**

### XMM-OM Far UV ~ 2000 A



Normal red optical colors

# $SFR < 0.25 M_{o} yr^{-1}$

Jet power implies:

 $\dot{M}_{\rm BH} = 5.6 \,\,{\rm M_o} \,\,{\rm yr^{-1}}$ 

who ordered that?

Bondi accretion No Stellar accretion No

### Stark Contrast: a gas-rich outburst

Abell 1835



$$E_{cavity} = 1.7 \times 10^{60} \text{ erg} \qquad 10 \text{ x less than} \\ P_{cavity} = 1.4 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \\ M_{gas} = 10^{11} \text{ M}_{o} \qquad > 100 \text{ x more than MS0735}$$

McNamara + 06

## Core "Scouring" by SMBH Mergers



 $\Delta M_s = \frac{M}{L} \times \Delta L \approx 6 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ 

$$M_{\bullet} \sim \Delta M_s$$
 Jumbo SMBH?

Accretion & Spin Paradigms

#### Accretion



Possibly yes with cluster-scale outbursts that strain the limits of theory.

# Power Output: spin/rotation or infall

nearly independent constraints from jet energy & power measurements

| <u>Total Energy</u><br><u>Jet Power</u>  | infall $\Delta M_{BH}$ $\dot{M}$ | ${spin}\ M_{BH}\ j^2, B_p^2$ | Meier 99, Nemmen + 07<br>Blandford & Znajek 77<br>Blandford & Payne 82 |  |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| MS0735: $P_{jet} = 3.5 \times 10^{46} erg  s^{-1}$ $E_{tot} = 1.2 \times 10^{62} erg$  |                                  |                              |  |  |
| Schwarzschild infall   |                                  | _                            | MHD spin jet (Meier 99)  |  |
| $\Delta M_{BH} \sim 6 \times 10^8  M_{\odot}$  |                                  |                              | $E_{rot} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{62} m_9 j^2 erg$                       |  |
| $M_{BH} \approx 5.6 M_{\odot} yr^{-1} \approx 1/3 m$<br>$So j \sim 1 \text{ or } M_{SMBH} > 10^9 M_0$<br>$P_{jet} = 1.1 \times 10^{46} \left[\frac{B_P}{10^4 G}\right]^2 m_9^2 j^2 \propto \left(\frac{m}{0.01}\right)^2 m_9^2 m_$ |                                  |                              |  |  |
| remarkably efficient accretion   |                                  |                              |  |  |
| (Bondi ruled out)  |                                  |                              | (Bondi possible)   |  |

## Problems with the spin hypothesis

- What spins them up? SMBH mergers requires nearly equal mass or steady infall with constant  $\vec{L}$  Wilson & Colbert 95 Voluntieri + 05

- How to regulate by feedback?

BUT - ~10<sup>62</sup> erg spin energy enough to quench cluster CF for ~ Hubble time. Function as *flywheel* periodically tapped via feedback loop?

- Dispense high power at low accretion rates (ie., Bondi)

- Must be important at some level. may be a factor in scatter in  $L_{cool}$  vs  $P_{cavity}$  &  $P_{jet}$  vs  $L_{rad}$  relations (Birzan + 04,08, Rafferty + 06, Dunn + 06)

- Hercules A shaping up similarly -- larger sample of big outbursts

real possibility that jumbo SMBHs exist!

thanks to Dan Evans